

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/974,725	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Bruce S. Itchkawitz.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 November 2005

Time: ~ 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

claim 49

Prior art documents discussed:


none.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed the amending claim 49 by examiner's amendment to make the claim read more clearly and consistent the language of amended claims 1 and 37. Applicants authorized said amendment by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DANIEL S. METZMAIER
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 ART UNIT 1712

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)